UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,722	11/14/2005	Teruyuki Oohashi	280882US2PCT	3283
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			KASENGE, CHARLES R	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/556,722	OOHASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHARLES R. KASENGE	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10,13,15 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. relection requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2005 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/556,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 10, 13, 15 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: in lines 1 or 2, "determining the positions" should be --determining positions--. Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 13 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 3, the term "the device" should be the "the support place determination device" so it is not confused with the "backup device" in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the board" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the component mounting surface" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is software per se. In pg 18, lines 12-16, appears to define the support place position determination means as a program.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shin et al. U.S. Patent 6,275,374. Regarding claims 15 and 18, Shin discloses a support place position determination method in a backup device of determining the positions of support places of the backup device which supports a board at a support surface on a reverse side of the component mounting surface in mounting components on the board by one or plural electronic component mounting apparatuses, the method including: a support place position determination step of designating and determining the positions of the support places of the backup device (col. 3 and 4, lines 54-21) while setting each support place of the backup device to either a flexure preventing support place for preventing the flexure of the board or a particular component support place for supporting a particular component for which highly precise mounting is required (col. 2, lines 17-31; col. 7, lines 33-60).

Regarding claim 16, Shin discloses the support place position determination method in

Application/Control Number: 10/556,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

the backup device as set forth in claim 15, further including: a support object component correlating step of correlating a support place which is set to the particular component support place at the support place position determination step, with information about a particular component to be supported by the support place (col. 3 and 4, lines 64-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 10-13 are allowed if the claims are rewritten to overcome the claim objections.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record does not disclose a support place position determination step of designating and determining the positions of the support places of the backup device on the superposed image being displayed at the superposed image display step. The allowability, at least in part, resides in this fact.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 13. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 14. Claim 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,722 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHARLES R. KASENGE whose telephone number is (571)272-

3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on 571 272-3819. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CK

March 21, 2008

/Charles R Kasenge/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121